



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Application of Bomb Radiocarbon Chronologies to Shortfin Mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*)

D. Ardizzone, G. M. Cailliet, L. J. Natanson, A. H.
Andrews, L. A. Kerr, T. A. Brown

July 27, 2007

Environmental Biology of Fishes

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Application of Bomb Radiocarbon Chronologies to Shortfin Mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*)
Age Validation

Daniele Ardizzone¹, Gregor M. Cailliet¹, Lisa J. Natanson², Allen H. Andrews¹, Lisa A. Kerr³ & Thomas A. Brown⁴

*Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, California State University, 8272 Moss Landing Rd,
Moss Landing, CA 95039*

dardizzone@mlml.calstate.edu

²NOAA Fisheries Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Narragansett Laboratory, 28
Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882

³University of Maryland Center of Environmental Science, Chesapeake Biological
Laboratory, P.O. Box 38, 1 Williams Street, Solomons, MD 20688

⁴Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory,
7000 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550.

INTRODUCTION

There is an ongoing disagreement regarding the ageing of the shortfin mako due to a difference of interpretation in the periodic deposition of vertebral growth band pairs, especially for the larger size classes. Pratt & Casey (1983), using analysis of length-month information, tagging data, and length-frequency analysis, concluded that two band pairs were formed in the vertebral centrum every year (biannual band-pair interpretation). Cailliet et al. (1983), however, presented growth parameters based on the common assumption that one band pair forms annually (annual band-pair interpretation). Therefore, growth rates obtained by Pratt & Casey (1983) were twice that of Cailliet et al. (1983) and could lead to age discrepancies of about 15 years for maximum estimated ages on the order of 30 from the annual band-pair interpretation.

Serious consequences in the population dynamics could occur for this species if inputs are based on an invalid age interpretation. The latest Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Highly Migratory Species (HMS), for example, adopted the biannual band pair deposition hypothesis because it apparently fit the observed growth patterns best (Pacific Fishery Management Council 2003). However, the ongoing uncertainty about the ageing of the shortfin mako was acknowledged and it was recommended that an endeavor to resolve this issue be made.

Since 1983, five additional studies on the age and growth of the shortfin mako have been conducted (Chan 2001, Campana et al. 2002, Hsu 2003, Ribot-Carballal et al. 2005, Bishop et al. 2006). Using Marginal Increment Ratio (MIR), Hsu (2003) indicated the formation of annual translucent bands from July to September in western North Pacific Ocean shortfin makos. Using Marginal Increment Analysis (MIA) Ribot-Carballal et al. (2005) supported the annual band-pair interpretation for 109 shortfin makos collected in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Although the study provided support for annual band-pair deposition, no statistical test was performed and the number of samples for

MIA analysis was insufficient for some months. Hence, unequivocal validation of shortfin mako age estimates has yet to be accomplished.

Atmospheric testing of thermonuclear devices in the 1950s and 1960s effectively doubled the natural atmospheric radiocarbon (^{14}C ; Druffel & Suess 1983, Broecker et al. 1985). The elevated ^{14}C levels were first recorded in 1957-58, with a peak around 1963 (Nydal & Lövseth 1983). As a consequence, ^{14}C entered the ocean through gas exchange with the atmosphere at the ocean surface and in terrestrial runoff (Broecker et al. 1985). Despite variable oceanographic conditions, a worldwide rise of the bomb ^{14}C signal entered the ocean mixed layer as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in 1957-58 (Druffel 1980). The large amounts of ^{14}C released from the bomb tests produced a signature that can be followed through time, throughout the marine food web, and into deeper waters (Percy & Stuiver 1983). The marked increase of radiocarbon levels was first measured in the DIC of seawater and in biogenic marine carbonates of hermatypic corals in Florida (Druffel & Linick 1978). Subsequently, this record was documented in corals from other regions (e.g. Nozaki et al. 1978, Druffel 1980, 1989, Druffel & Suess 1983, Guilderson et al. 1998) and in the thallus of rhodoliths (Frantz et al. 2000, 2005). The accumulation of radiocarbon in the hard parts of most marine organisms in the mixed layer (such as fish otoliths and bivalves) was synchronous with the coral time-series (Kalish 1993, Weidman & Jones 1993).

This technique has been used to validate age estimates and longevity of numerous bony fishes to date, as well as to establish bomb radiocarbon chronologies from different oceans (e.g. Kalish 1993, Campana 1997, Campana & Jones 1998, Kerr et al. 2005, Piner & Wischniowski 2004, Andrews et al. 2005). In the first application of this technique to lamnoid sharks, Campana et al. (2002) validated annual band-pair deposition in vertebral growth bands for the porbeagle (*Lamna nasus*) aged up to 26 years. Radiocarbon values from samples obtained from 15 porbeagle caught in the western North Atlantic Ocean

(some of which were known-age) produced a chronology similar in magnitude to the reference carbonate chronology for that region. The observed phase shift of about 3 years was attributed to different sources of carbon between vertebrae and those for otoliths, bivalves and corals. In the same study by Campana et al. (2002), a single vertebra from a shortfin mako caught in 1977 was aged at 21 and 10 years, using the annual versus the biannual deposition hypotheses, respectively. Vertebral samples were extracted from the first, last, and two intermediate bands and were assayed for radiocarbon. The results indicated the ageing interpretation for the vertebra from this fish best fit the timing of the porbeagle time-series by adopting the annual band-pair interpretation.

To provide a more comprehensive basis for valid ageing criteria and a definitive growth function for the shortfin mako, more radiocarbon assays were required (Campana et al. 2002). The goal of our research was to take heed of this suggestion and continue the use of bomb radiocarbon to validate the ageing of the shortfin mako, and specifically to resolve the validity of either annual or biannual band-pair age interpretations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Age Assessment

Vertebral samples were collected from shortfin makos caught in the western North Atlantic, eastern North Pacific, western South Pacific, and western South Indian Oceans between 1950 and 1984 to obtain sufficient sample size across size classes. These centra were sectioned for age estimation using the growth bands visible in the corpus calcareum, an approach commonly used in shark age estimation. Each vertebral centrum was sectioned along the sagittal plane using a Ray Tech gem saw with two diamond blades separated by a 0.6 mm spacer at the NOAA Fisheries Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Narragansett Laboratory, Rhode Island (NMFS). The resulting sections were placed under water with a black background and digitally photographed using an MTI®

CCD 72 video camera mounted on an Olympus[®] SZX9 stereomicroscope under reflected light. Magnification ranged between 4X and 12X according to the size of the vertebra. For larger vertebrae, an additional photograph at higher magnification was taken to resolve the finer bands toward the edge. The sections were stored in 70% EtOH.

Ages were estimated by counting the number of band pairs in the images using the annual band-pair interpretation. Brightness and contrast of the images was adjusted to enhance the banding pattern of the section using Adobe Photoshop 7.0. Each band pair consisted of one opaque and one translucent band, corresponding to the light-dark pattern observed against a dark background under reflected light.

Vertebrae were independently aged by a second reader at the NMFS Narragansett lab to assess reading precision and for cross-calibration between readers. Age discrepancies were plotted to show the age difference frequency between readers. An age-bias plot was produced to detect bias among the size range and to suggest trends in this type of error. In addition, Average Percent Error (APE), Percent Error (D) and Coefficient of Variation (CV) were calculated to measure precision of the readings (Beamish & Fournier 1981, Chang 1982, Campana 2001). The resulting CV was used as a measure of the potential age range in the assigned year of formation for the samples assayed for radiocarbon. Because the uncertainty in assigning the year of formation decreased from the first-formed band to the last-formed band (where the collection date was known), the closer the sample to the band pair corresponding to the year of collection, the smaller the error and vice versa. Hence, the effect of this uncertainty increased as the samples approached the birth year. Individual CV values for each sample were calculated multiplying the difference between the collection year and the year of band-pair formation by CV.

Sample Preparation

To address the validity of age interpretations, samples for radiocarbon analysis were extracted from growth bands of specimens collected from the western North Atlantic Ocean with estimated collection years between 1963 and 1984. The sharks were from the same collection as those used by Campana et al. (2002). To avoid the use of vertebrae sectioned for ageing, adjacent vertebrae were sectioned along the sagittal plane to a thickness of 1.3 mm using a Buehler Isomet[®] 1000 low-speed saw with a diamond blade. Samples corresponding to specific years of formation were extracted from the center of growth bands using a New Wave Research[®] Micromill with Brasseler[®] Carbide tips (0.3 mm). The drill bits were rinsed in 10% HCl and MilliQ water between sampling events to prevent cross-contamination. Vertebral sections were mounted for milling using double-sided tape and samples were extracted by drilling a series of holes along the boundary of the two growth bands. The extracted samples were solid pieces composed of one opaque and one translucent band, corresponding to what would be interpreted as one year of growth, based on the annual band-pair interpretation, or half a year's growth, based on the biannual band-pair interpretation. Samples were weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg and placed in glass culture tubes (13 x 100 mm) for processing prior to radiocarbon analysis. For all but one specimen, the first-formed band pair and a series of other growth band pairs ranging to the most recently formed were extracted from the corpus calcareum of each vertebral section. Four of these samples were cored from vertebrae belonging to the same shortfin mako assayed by Campana et al. (2002) to provide a basis for direct comparisons.

Prior to radiocarbon analysis, samples were demineralized to remove any carbon bound into what could be more transient carbonate forms. This step was necessary to attribute all carbon, and measured ^{14}C , to the organic component of the cartilage. To demineralize the sample, culture tubes containing the samples were filled half way with 0.25 N HCl (GFS Chemicals, double distilled acid); acidified samples were capped with

foil and placed in a refrigerator for 2 hours to slow the reaction (Brown et al. 1988). Hydrochloric acid was drawn off with a pipette from the remaining solid sample, leaving the extracted piece of cartilage at the bottom of the tube; the tubes were re-filled with fresh acid and placed in a refrigerator overnight to complete the dissolution of the inorganic component.

Demineralization was considered complete once the solid material appeared translucent. Samples were rinsed, centrifuged, and transferred into pre-weighed 6-mm quartz tubes. Samples were dried overnight at 95°C and weighed. Dried samples were prepared for combustion by adding the equivalent of 20 times the sample weight in Alpha Aesar[®] CuO (99.9999%) and 2 mg of Alpha Aesar[®] Ag (99.999% metals basis). Tubes were evacuated and sealed, placed in an oven at 900 °C for 3.5 hours and analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS).

Bomb Radiocarbon Dating

The $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values from each sample were plotted against the year of band formation based on estimated age and compared to reference time-series obtained from the western North Atlantic for the porbeagle by Campana et al. (2002), the haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus* (Campana 1997), and the bivalve *Arctica islandica* (Weidman & Jones 1993). Results from the shortfin mako bomb carbon analysis were expected to produce a sigmoidal curve similar to the three $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time-series from the western North Atlantic Ocean. A phase lag was defined as a shift in time of onset and increase between chronologies. $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values were subjectively considered elevated when above 30‰.

To verify the synchrony of the onset and increase of the bomb radiocarbon signal within individual sharks, sequential samples obtained from each specimen were plotted with those from the porbeagle chronology. Differences in timing of the bomb radiocarbon signal observed when the two different ageing interpretations were applied, radiocarbon

values from samples of the two longest-living specimens available (life span from pre- to the post-bomb era) were also plotted with the reference time-series. To provide the best analysis using known-age samples for the shortfin mako, samples from the edge of the vertebrae were plotted with the porbeagle reference time-series. The resulting time-series was used to verify the presence of the bomb radiocarbon signal. These values served also as a reference time-series for the shortfin mako because the year of formation was known or had little uncertainty.

RESULTS

Age estimates for the 54 shortfin mako vertebrae ranged from 1 to 31 years, using the annual band-pair interpretation. Estimated birth years for those samples spanned from 1948 to 1972, covering the period of the bomb radiocarbon increase. If the biannual band-pair interpretation was used, the age range would be reduced to 1 to 15 years with corresponding birth years between 1957 and 1978. Vertebral growth bands in the early life stages of shortfin mako sharks appeared broad and clear, as shown in transverse section; however, the bands became narrower and less defined, often blending with other bands, as age increased (Figure 1).

Band-pair counts remained consistent between two readers and showed no ageing bias throughout the available size range (Figure 2). Comparison of age estimates with the second reader yielded an APE value of 11.5% and D value of 7.7%. The CV of 10.8% was used as a measure of the potential age range in the assigned year of formation for the samples assayed for radiocarbon.

The vertebrae of two male and six female shortfin makos, (133-366 cm TL), were analyzed for ^{14}C , producing a total of 21 samples (Table 1). Collection dates ranged from 1963 to 1984. Ages of the selected specimens, adopting the annual band-pair criteria, were estimated at 2-31 years with corresponding birth years of 1948 to 1972. The error

associated with assigned year of band-pair formation for each sample (CV) ranged between 0.1 and 3.3 years.

For the samples assayed, radiocarbon values for 21 samples ranged between -154.8‰ (± 3.2 SD) and 86.8‰ (± 4.6) $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ and were estimated to have formed between 1948 and 1983, using the annual band-pair interpretation. The lowest value (-154.8‰ ± 3.2) corresponded to the estimated year 1957; the highest values (86.5‰ ± 4.8 and 86.8‰ ± 4.6) corresponded to the estimated years 1968 and 1978, respectively.

Radiocarbon values of shortfin mako vertebrae, relative to their estimated year of band-pair formation, revealed the presence of a bomb radiocarbon signal and followed the general predicted trend (Figure 3). The resulting chronology was comparable to existing reference time-series of the North Atlantic Ocean. The phase lag of the rise in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels toward more recent dates, however, was most similar to the porbeagle and the increase in started as early as 1960, whereas the first elevated values for the shortfin mako were recorded between 1962 and 1968. The most elevated radiocarbon values for the shortfin mako were 86.5‰ ± 4.8 (1968) and 86.8‰ ± 4.6 (1978), whereas those for the haddock (Campana 1997) and the porbeagle (Campana et al. 2002) were 75‰ (1970) and 63‰ (1975), respectively.

Some $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values were lower than other pre-bomb values for the shortfin mako ($>50\%$) and the reference time-series. Depleted radiocarbon levels were found in the first-formed band pair of two specimens (M001 and M191) and in an intermediate band pair of another (M011). These values ranged between -132.7‰ ± 4 SD and -154.8‰ ± 3.2 SD and were estimated to have formed in 1955 (± 0.9 years), 1957 (± 2.4 years), and 1963 (± 0.2 years).

Within-shark vertebral analysis suggested that radiocarbon levels within individual sharks followed the same trend (Figure 4). Bomb radiocarbon values obtained from sequential samples of the oldest sharks used in this study (M151 and M186)

indicated the sharks began life in the pre-bomb phase, continued to live through the sharp increase in radiocarbon and into the post-bomb phase. All samples from those specimens tended to agree with the expected bomb radiocarbon trend. Some samples showed a phase lag of about 3-5 years relative to the porbeagle chronology for a total lag of 6-8 years relative to more synchronous records. The remainder was in phase with the porbeagle time-series. The most evident shift from the expected trend appeared in four individual samples from three different specimens (M151-1965, M151-1968, M186-1966, and M191-1966) assumed to have formed between 1965 and 1968, during the rapid increase of radiocarbon levels. These samples were collected from growth bands formed at ages estimated between 9 and 18 years, based on annual deposition.

There was a measurable discrepancy in radiocarbon values for one growth band between the present study and that reported by Campana et al. (2002) (circled samples, Figure 4). A difference of 26.9‰ was recorded between values from samples from the first-formed band of a specimen (M151) sampled in Campana et al. (2002) and the present study. These samples were similar by location on the vertebral column, location in the centrum and estimated age, but were not replicates. Application of the two age interpretations to the two largest specimens, which were estimated to span throughout the bomb ^{14}C era, revealed two different scenarios (Figure 5). Using data from M151 and M186, the initial rise and subsequent peak of the bomb radiocarbon levels differed markedly when applying the annual versus biannual band-pair deposition hypotheses. The outcome was an apparent 3 to 5 years of lag relative to the porbeagle chronology with the annual band-pair interpretation. The phase lag would be 10-13 years relative to the porbeagle for this interpretation to be valid. The biannual band-pair interpretation resulted in time series that indicated the first effects of the bomb radiocarbon signal were not recorded before 1970 and a much sharper rise.

DISCUSSION

Application of the bomb radiocarbon technique to the vertebrae of shortfin mako has demonstrated its utility as an age validation tool for this species. The bomb radiocarbon signal measured in the shortfin mako was temporally similar to the records observed in western North Atlantic Ocean bivalves and fish (Weidman & Jones 1993, Campana 1997), although more comparable to the slightly out-of-phase record determined for the porbeagle (Campana et al. 2002). Records from water samples (Nydal et al. 1998) and corals (Druffel & Linick 1978, Druffel 1980, 1995, Guilderson et al. 1998) show a synchronous rise in radiocarbon levels in the late 1950s to the early 1960s, though the years of peak differ depending on the oceanography and depth of sampling. For fishes, most records have been from teleosts and followed the same trend (Kalish 1993, Campana 1997, Campana & Jones 1998, Kalish et al. 2001, Kerr et al. 2004). For elasmobranchs, one possible exception is with the school shark (*Galeorhinus galeus*) from the western South Pacific Ocean (Kalish & Johnston 2001); the first effects of the bomb radiocarbon signal may have appeared in 1979, more than 15 years after the onset recorded in otoliths from the same region (Kalish 1993). This was attributed to underestimation of age.

The dietary source of carbon for the shortfin mako, combined with slight underageing, could be responsible for the phase shift in the bomb radiocarbon signal and some of the unusually low levels (Campana et al. 2002, Kerr et al. *This Issue*). The source of carbon in elasmobranch non-calcified tissue comes from the diet (Fry 1988, Campana et al. 2002). The incorporation of this carbon in the vertebrae carries the signature of the prey and may be generally older than the surrounding water because of a trophic level delay. This effect may be more evident in larger prey because radiocarbon is carried through more trophic levels. Therefore, large sharks may have incorporated a more delayed signal because they often feed on larger prey (Stillwell & Kohler 1982). The four

samples showing more of a phase-lag were taken from growth bands formed at an older age (age 9-18), hence a slight depletion in radiocarbon could explain the discrepancy.

The $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels from three samples are among the lowest recorded for the pre-bomb period in the western North Atlantic Ocean. These values are about twice as low as the pre-bomb $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels from other regional marine organisms and water samples, which range between -40‰ and -80‰ (Druffel 1989, Campana 1997, Campana & Jones 1998, Nydal et al. 1998, Baker & Wilson 2001, Kalish et al. 2001). Only the porbeagle has pre-bomb values more depleted than corals and fishes in the western North Atlantic Ocean (as low as -114‰; Campana et al. 2002). These depleted levels can be explained based on a diet of prey that live in waters deeper than the mixed layer, depths where the radiocarbon is depleted, relative to surface waters (Broeker et al. 1985, Kalish 2001).

Movement and feeding studies support the notion that an increase in the variability of the source of radiocarbon could partially explain the phase lag observed in some samples. Analyses of feeding habits and tracking studies indicated deep-water squid were a component of the shortfin mako diet in the western North Atlantic Ocean (Carey et al. 1978, Stillwell & Kohler 1982). Tracking studies in the eastern North Pacific Ocean indicated young shortfin mako (up to 1.7-1.8 m TL) frequent the upper 50 m of the water column (corresponding to the 18-20° C range), but make excursions to deeper waters, presumably to feed (Holts & Bedford 1993, Klimley et al. 2002, Sepulveda et al. 2004).

Although dietary effects can explain some of the variation, there is no empirical evidence to rule out some degree of metabolic reworking. Based on ^{210}Pb distributions within vertebrae, Welden et al. (1987) determined that the inorganic component in shark vertebrae may be metabolically unstable. The within-shark $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal for the adult shortfin makos that lived through the pre-bomb to post bomb era, however, indicated there is strong evidence that the organic carbon is conserved to a significant extent.

Otherwise, no recorded increase with time would be evident and the distribution of pre- and post-bomb values would likely be randomly distributed. The observed variability within this general trend, however, could be due to either minor differences in sample extraction or differences in localized growth and deposition. This notion is supported by the different measured $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels in the sample that was similar in vertebral location to one analyzed by Campana et al. (2002) and similar findings were recorded for the white shark (Kerr et al. *This Issue*). However, the samples from Campana et al. (2002) were not demineralized prior to radiocarbon analysis.

The geographic location of an individual shark during a particular period of its life can also introduce further variability in the radiocarbon signal. Follows & Marshall's (1996) model, consistent with the observed patterns, indicated there was an excess of bomb ^{14}C in the western part of the North Atlantic Ocean basin, due to enhanced eddy stirring in the western region. Results of a study on movements of 231 shortfin mako sharks in the western North Atlantic Ocean indicated that 31 (13.4%) sharks recaptured were caught after traveling distances exceeding 1,000 nautical miles (Casey & Kohler, 1992). One of the sharks tagged off the northeastern United States was recaptured off the coast of Spain, after a straight-line journey of 2,452 nautical miles. Because shortfin mako are highly migratory, exposure to prey in different regions might play a role in the variable radiocarbon levels seen here.

The results of this work support the annual band-pair interpretation for western North Atlantic shortfin mako aged up to 31 years, but does not rule out the early age findings for biannual band-pair deposition. The biannual band-pair interpretation could be valid for the first few years of growth, as was indicated by length-frequency and tag/recapture data (Pratt & Casey 1983). However, extrapolation of the early growth pattern to older ages is not supported by the findings of this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by funds from NOAA/NMFS to the National Shark Research Consortium, in part by the National Sea Grant College Program of the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration under NOAA Grant no. NA06RG0142, project number R / F-190, through the California Sea Grant College Program, and in part by the California State Resources Agency. This work was performed, in part, under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48. This research was also funded in part by the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Earl H. and Ethel M. Myers Oceanographic and Marine Biological Trust, Packard Foundation, PADI Project AWARE, Lerner-Gray Memorial Fund for Marine Research, CSU Fresno Research Merit Award, CSU Fresno Travel Grant. I would also like to thank Dr. Steven Campana and the anonymous reviewers for their comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, A.H., E.J. Burton, L.A. Kerr, G.M. Cailliet, K.H. Coale, C.C. Lundstrom & T.A. Brown. 2005. Bomb radiocarbon and lead-radium disequilibria in otoliths of bocaccio rockfish (*Sebastes paucispinis*): A determination of age and longevity for a difficult-to-age fish. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 56: 517-528.
- Baker, M.S. & C.A. Wilson. 2001. Use of bomb radiocarbon to validate otolith section ages of red snapper *Lutjanus campechanus* from the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Limnology and Oceanography* 46(7): 1819-1824.

- Beamish, R.J. & D.A. Fournier. 1981. A method for comparing the precision of a set of age determinations. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 38: 982-983.
- Bishop, S.D.H., M. P. Francis, C. Duffy and J. C. Montgomery. 2006. Age, growth, maturity, longevity and natural mortality of the shortfin mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) in New Zealand waters. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 57: 143-154.
- Broecker, W.S., T. Peng, G. Ostlund & M. Stuiver. 1985. The distribution of bomb radiocarbon in the ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 90(C4): 6953-6970.
- Brown, T.A., D.E. Nelson, J.S. Vogel & J.R. Southon. 1988. Improved collagen extraction by modified Longin method. *Radiocarbon* 30(2): 171-177.
- Cailliet, G.M., L.K. Martin, J.T. Harvey, D. Kusher & B.A. Welden. 1983. Preliminary studies on the age and growth of blue (*Prionace glauca*), common thresher (*Alopias vulpinus*), and shortfin mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) sharks from California waters. pp 179-188. In: E. D. Prince & M. Pulos (ed.) *Proceedings, International Workshop on Age Determination of Oceanic Pelagic Fishes-Tunas, Billfishes, Sharks*. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 8
- Campana, S.E. 1997. Use of radiocarbon from nuclear fallout as a dated marker in the otoliths of haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 150: 49-56.

- Campana, S.E. 2001. Accuracy, precision and quality control in age determination, including a review of the use and abuse of age validation methods. *Journal of Fish Biology* 59: 197-242.
- Campana, S.E. & C.M. Jones. 1998. Radiocarbon from nuclear testing applied to age validation of Black drum *Pogonia chromis*. *Fishery Bulletin* 96: 185-192.
- Campana, S.E., L.J. Natanson & S. Myklevoll. 2002. Bomb dating and age determination of large pelagic sharks. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 59: 450-455.
- Carey, F.G., L. Middleton, C.E. Stillwell, H.L. Pratt, N.E. Kohler & C. Cavin. 1978. Mako shark experiment on Wicczno, March 1978. National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole Laboratory, Document no. 80-7. 12 pp.
- Casey, J.G. & N.E. Kohler. 1992. Tagging studies on the shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) in the Western North Atlantic. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43: 45-60.
- Chan, R.W.K. 2001. Biological studies on sharks caught off the coast of New South Wales. Ph.D. thesis, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. 323 pp.
- Chang, W.Y.B. 1982. A statistical method for evaluating reproducibility of age determination. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 39: 1208-1210.

- Druffel, E.M. 1980. Radiocarbon in annual coral rings of Belize and Florida. *Radiocarbon* 22(2): 363-371.
- Druffel, E.M. 1989. Decade time scale variability of ventilation in the North Atlantic: High-precision measurements of bomb radiocarbon in banded corals. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 94(C3): 3271-3285
- Druffel, E.M. & T.W. Linick. 1978. Radiocarbon in annual coral rings of Florida. *Geophysical Research Letters* 5: 913-916.
- Druffel, E.M. & H.E. Suess. 1983. On the radiocarbon record in banded corals: Exchange parameters and net transport of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ between atmosphere and surface ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 88(C2): 1271-1280.
- Follows, M.J. & J.C. Marshall. 1996. On models of bomb ^{14}C in the North Atlantic. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 101(C10): 22577-22582.
- Frantz, B.R., M. Kashgarian, K.H. Coale & M.S. Foster. 2000. Growth rate and potential climate record from a rodolith using ^{14}C accelerator mass spectrometry. *Limnology and Oceanography* 45(8): 1773-1777.
- Frantz, B.R., M.S. Foster & R. Riosmena-Rodriguez. 2005. *Clathromorphum nereostratum* (Corallines, Rhodophita): The oldest alga? *Journal of Phycology* 41: 770-773.
- Fry, B. 1988. Food web structure on Georges Bank from stable C, N and S isotopic composition. *Limnology and Oceanography* 33: 1182-1190.

- Guilderson, T.P., D.P. Schrag, M. Kashgarian & J. Southon. 1998. Radiocarbon variability in the western equatorial Pacific inferred from a high-resolution coral record from Nauru Island. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103(C11): 24641-24650.
- Holts, D.B. & D.W. Bedford. 1993. Horizontal and vertical movements of the shortfin mako shark, *Isurus oxyrinchus*, in the Southern California Bight. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 44: 901-909.
- Hsu, H-H. 2003. Age, growth, and reproduction of shortfin mako, *Isurus oxyrinchus* in the northwestern Pacific. MS thesis, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan. 107pp.
- Kalish, J.M. 1993. Pre- and post-bomb radiocarbon in fish otoliths. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 114: 549-554.
- Kalish J.M. 2001. Use of the bomb radiocarbon chronometer to validate fish age. Final Report FRDC Project 93/109. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia. 384 pp.
- Kalish, J.M. & J.M. Johnston. 2001. Determination of school shark age based on analysis of radiocarbon in vertebral collagen. In: J. M. Kalish (ed.). Use of the bomb radiocarbon chronometer to validate fish age. Final Report FRDC Project 93/109. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia. 384 pp.

- Kalish, J.M., R. Nydal, K.H. Nedreaas, G.S. Burr & G.L. Eine. 2001. A time history of pre- & post-bomb radiocarbon in the Barents Sea derived from Arcto-Norwegian cod otoliths. *Radiocarbon* 43 (2B): 843-855.
- Kerr, L.A., A.H. Andrews, B.R. Frantz, K.H. Coale & G.M. Cailliet. 2004. Radiocarbon in otoliths of the yelloweye rockfish *Sebastes ruberrimus*, a unique chronometer for the waters of southeast Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 61(3): 443-451.
- Kerr, L.A., A.H. Andrews, K. Munk, K.H. Coale, B.R. Frantz, G.M. Cailliet & T.A. Brown. 2005. Age validation of quillback (*Sebastes maliger*) using bomb radiocarbon. *Fishery Bulletin* 103(1): 97-107.
- Kerr, L.A., A.H. Andrews, G.M. Cailliet, T.A. Brown & K.H. Coale. This Issue. Investigations of radiocarbon and stable carbon and nitrogen ratios in vertebrae of white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) from the eastern North Pacific Ocean. In: J.K. Carlson & K.J. Goldman (ed.) *Age and growth of chondrichthyan fishes: new methods, techniques, and analyses*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*.
- Klimley, P.A., S.C. Beaver, T.J. Curtis & S.J. Jorgensen. 2002. Movements and swimming behavior of three species of sharks in La Jolla Canyon, California. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 63(2): 117-135.
- Nozaki, Y., D.M. Rye, K.K. Turekian & R.E. Dodge. 1978. A 200-year record of carbon-13 and carbon-14 variations in Bermuda coral. *Geophysical Research Letters* 5: 825-828.

- Nydal, R. & K. Lovseth. 1983. Tracing bomb ^{14}C in the atmosphere 1962-1980. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 88(C6): 3621-3642.
- Nydal, R., A.L. Brenkert & T.A. Boden (ed.). 1998. Carbon-14 Measurements in Surface Water CO_2 from the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans, 1965-1994. ORNL/CDIAC-104, NDP-057A. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. 131 pp.
- Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2003. Fishery Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for U. S. West Coast Fisheries for Highly Migratory Species. Pacific Fisheries Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200, Portland OR.
- Pearcy, W.G. & M. Stuiver. 1983. Vertical transport of carbon-14 into deep-sea food webs. *Deep-Sea Research* 30(4A): 427-440.
- Piner, K., & S.G. Wischniowski. 2004. Pacific halibut chronology of bomb radiocarbon in otoliths from 1944 to 1981 and a validation of aging methods. *Journal of Fish Biology* 64: 1060-1071.
- Pratt, H.L. & J.G. Casey. 1983. Age and growth of the shortfin mako *Isurus oxyrinchus*, using four methods. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 40: 1944-1957.

- Ribot-Carballal, M.C., F. Galvan-Magana & C. Quinonez-Velazquez. 2005. Age and growth of the shortfin mako, *Isurus oxyrinchus*, from the western coast of Baja California Sur, Mexico. Fisheries Research 76: 14-21.
- Sepulveda, C.A., S. Kohin, C. Chan, R. Vetter & J.B. Graham. 2004. Movement patterns, depth preferences, and stomach temperatures of free-swimming juvenile mako sharks, *Isurus oxyrinchus*, in the Southern California Bight. Marine Biology 145: 191-199.
- Stillwell C.E. & N.E. Kohler. 1982. Food, feeding habits, and estimates of daily ration of the shortfin mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) in the Northwest Atlantic. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 39: 407-414.
- Stuiver, M. & H.A. Polach. 1977. Discussion: Reporting of ^{14}C data. Radiocarbon 19(3): 355-363.
- Weidman, C.R. & G.A. Jones. 1993. A shell-derived time history of bomb ^{14}C on Georges Bank and its Labrador Sea implications. Journal of Geophysical Research 98(C8): 14577-14588.
- Welden, B.A., Cailliet, G.M. & Flegal, A.R. 1987. Comparison of radiometric with vertebral band age estimates in four California elasmobranchs. 301-315. In: R. C. Summerfelt & G. E. Hall (eds.). Age and Growth of Fish. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.

Table 1. Summary of fish and sample data for vertebral sections that were analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$. Age estimates were based on the annual deposition of one band pair per year. Values in bold represent the four vertebral samples assayed by Campana et al. (2002)

Sample	Sex	TL (cm)	Collection date	Estimated age (years)	Estimated birth date	Estimated year of band-pair formation	Estimated age at band-pair formation	CV of assigned year of band-pair formation*	Sample weight (mg) **	$\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\pm\text{SD}$	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) ***
M001	F	133	1965	2	1963	1963	0+	0.2	17.1	-144.0	3.3	-15
M011	M	232	1963	13	1950	1950	0+	1.4	22.2	-69.8	4.4	-15
						1955	5	0.9	21.1	-132.7	4	-15
						1962	12	0.1	18	-50.6	3.7	-14
M016	M	183	1964	5	1959	1961	2	0.3	20	-69.4	3.6	-15
						1963	4	0.1	19	-51.9	3.6	-15
M151	F	355	1977	21	1956	1956	0+	2.3	20.2	-73.3	4.0	-15
						1956	0+			-100.2		
						1960	4			-90.1		
						1965	9	1.3	21.7	-64.2	3.6	-15
						1968	14	0.8	21.3	-45.8	4.6	-15
						1970	16			38.8		
						1976	20	0.1	20	64.0	5.2	-15
						1977	21			52.6		
M181	F	240	1979	11	1968	1968	0+	1.2	16	86.5	4.8	-14
						1972	4	0.8	20	35.6	4.1	-14
M186	F	366	1979	31	1948	1948	0+	3.3	16.1	-63.1	3.8	-15
						1955	7	2.6	18	-75.3	3.9	-15
						1966	18	1.4	18	-65.9	4.5	-14
						1978	30	0.1	20	86.8	4.6	-15
M191	F	350	1979	22	1957	1957	0+	2.4	21	-154.8	3.2	-15
						1962	5	1.8	15	-20.8	5.4	-15
						1966	9	1.4	21	-36.9	3.7	-15
M316	F	309	1984	12	1972	1972	0+	1.3	20	62.8	4.7	-15
						1983	11	0.1	20.5	58.0	4.3	-15

* CV = 10.8%

** pre-demineralization

*** $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are the assumed values according to Stuiver and Polach (1977)

Figures:

Figure 1. Transverse section of a vertebra (M191) from a 350-cm (TL) shortfin mako shark collected in 1979 and aged at 22 years. Each dot is centered on the opaque region of a band pair, but represents one whole year of growth. Age 0+ was assigned to the first-formed band (marked as Birth).

Figure 2. Age-bias plot showing inter-reader comparison, Average Percent Error (APE), Percent Error (D), and Coefficient of Variation (CV) (n=53). Vertical error bars represent 95% Confidence Interval (CI). The unusually long bars for years 9, 13 and 21 are due to small sample size of the pair-wise comparisons for those year classes.

Figure 3. Values of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ from individual growth band pairs of shortfin mako vertebrae collected from the western North Atlantic and plotted with the reference time series available from the same region, with the single shortfin mako previously assayed by Campana et al. (2002). The estimated years of formation were based on the annual band-pair interpretation. Horizontal error bars represent uncertainty associated with age estimation from growth bands (CV = 10.8%). Vertical bars represent the 1 SD AMS analytical uncertainty.

Figure 4. Radiocarbon values from sequential samples (of vertebral growth bands) in individual sharks. Each line connects growth bands sampled from the same specimen and is plotted with the porbeagle time-series (Campana et al. (2002)). The estimated years of formation of the sampled bands used the annual band-pair interpretation. Circles highlight values from the first-formed band pair assayed both in this study and that by Campana et al. (2002) for M151. Horizontal error bars represent uncertainty associated with age determination from growth zones (CV = 10.8%). Vertical bars represent the 1 SD AMS analytical uncertainty.

Figure 5. Radiocarbon values for two individual shortfin makos versus the estimated year of formation as the result of two growth band interpretations. Solid lines connect sequential sampling from specimens aged using the annual band-pair interpretation. Dotted lines connect sequential growth bands of specimens aged using the biannual band-pair interpretation.









